Farm; Businesses or other for-profit; Federal agencies or employees; Nonprofit institutions; Small businesses or organizations; 3,060 responses; 451 hours; not applicable under 3504(h) William H. Heneberry, (202) 786–1430. Jane A. Benoit.

Departmental Clearance Officer. [FR Doc. 86–4820 Filed 3–4–86; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3410-01-M

Forest Service

Florida National Scenic Trail; Availability of Draft Comprehensive Plan

AGENCY: Forest Service, USDA.
ACTION: Availability of Draft
Comprehensive Plan for the Florida
National Scenic Trail.

SUMMARY: Pub. L. 98–11 amended the National Trails System Act (16 U.S.C. 1244) adding the Florida Trail to the National Scenic Trail System. Section 5(e) of the National Trails System Act requires that a comprehensive plan for the acquisition, management, development, and use of the trail be developed and submitted to Congress. The Florida National Scenic Trail Draft Comprehensive Plan will be available for public review and comment on March 3, 1986.

ADDRESS: Requests for copies of the Florida National Scenic Trail Draft Comprehensive Plan should be sent to: Forest Supervisor, USDA-Forest Service, National Forests in Florida, 227 North Bronough Street, Tallahassee, Florida 32301.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: F. Norman Heintz at (904) 661–7265, Tallahassee, Florida, or Charles Huppuch at (404) 347–7252, Atlanta, Georgia.

Dated: February 25, 1986.
Don Percival,
Forest Supervisor.
[FR Doc. 86-4796 Filed 3-4-86; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 3410-11-M

Florida National Scenic Trail Advisory Council; Meeting

Notice is hereby given in accordance with the Federal Advisory Committee Act that a meeting of the Florida National Scenic Trail Advisory Council will be held at 9:00 a.m. on Saturday, April 5, 1986, at the Withlacoochee Training Center, seven miles north of Brooksville, Florida.

0

d

The purpose of the Florida National Scenic Trail Advisory Council is to advise the Secretary of Agriculture on all matters of planning, management and development of the Florida National Scenic Trail. The agenda will include discussion of the comments received from public review of the Draft Comprehensive Plan.

The meeting will be open to the public; however, facilities and space for accommodating the public are limited. Any member of the public may file with the Council a written statement concerning the matters to be discussed.

Persons wishing further information concerning the meeting or who wish to submit written statements may contact F. Norman Heintz, Recreation Staff Officer, USDA-Forest Service, National Forests in Florida, 227 North Bronough Street, Tallahassee, Florida 32301, Telephone 904/681–7265. Minutes of the meeting will be available for public inspection at the above address approximately four weeks after the meeting.

Issued in Tallahassee, Florida on February 24, 1986.

Don Percival,
Forest Supervisor.
[FR Doc. 86–4797 Filed 3–4–86; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 3410–11–M

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Agency Forms Under Review by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB)

DOC has submitted to OMB for clearance the following proposals for collection of information under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35).

Agency: Bureau of Economic Analysis Title: Reinsurance Transactions with Insurance Companies Resident Aboard

Form Number: Agency—BE-48; OMB— 0608-0016

Type of Request: Revision of a currently approved collection

Burden: 200 respondents; 300 reporting hours

Needs and Uses: The data collected are an integral part of the U.S. National Income and Product Accounts. These accounts are used extensively by Government, international organizations, industry, and other private groups

Affected Public: Businesses or other forprofit institutions

Frequency: Annually Respondent's Obligation: Mandatory OMB Desk Officer: Timothy Sprehe, 395–4814

Agency: Bureau of Economic Analysis

Title: Foreign Contract Operations of U.S. Construction, Engineering, Architectural, and Related Consulting and Technical Services Firms Form Number: Agency—BE-47; OMB— 0608-0015

Type of Request: Revision of a currently approved collection

Burden: 130 respondents; 455 reporting hours

Needs and Uses: The data collected are an integral part of the U.S. National Income and Product Accounts. These data are used extensively by Government, international organizations, industry, and other private groups

Affected Public: Businesses or other forprofit institutions

Frequency: Annually Respondent's Obligation: Mandatory OMB Desk Officer: Timothy Sprehe, 395–4814.

Copies of the above information collection proposals can be obtained by calling or writing DOC Clearance Officer, Edward Michals (202) 377–4217, Department of Commerce, Room 6822, 14th and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230.

Written comments and recommendations for the proposed information collection should be sent to Timothy Sprehe, OMB Desk Officer, Room 3235, New Executive Office Building, Washington, DC 20503.

Dated: February 3, 1986.

Edward Michals,

Departmental Clearance Officer.

[FR Doc. 88-4723 Filed 3-4-86; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-CW-M

Agency Form Under Review by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB)

DOC has submitted to OMB for clearance the following proposal for collection of information under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35).

Agency: Bureau of the Census
Title: 1986 Test Census of Los Angeles
County—Census Community
Awareness Program—Survey of
Community Group Leaders
Form Number: Agency—DC-140-U;
OMB—NA

Type of Request: New collection Burden: 150 respondents; 75 reporting

Needs and Uses: This survey will be used to evaluate key Census Community Awareness Program activities which are mainly targeted towards difficult-to-enumerate areas and population groups Affected Public: Individuals or households Frequency: One time only Respondents's Obligation: Voluntary OMB Desk Officer: Timothy Sprehe, 395–4814.

Copies of the above information collection proposal can be obtained by calling or writing DOC Clearance Officer, Edward Michals (202) 377–4217, Department of Commerce, Room 6622, 14th and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230.

Written comments and recommendations for the proposed information collection should be sent to Timothy Sprehe, OMB Desk Officer, Room 3235, New Executive Office Building, Washington, DC 20503.

Dated: February 3, 1986.
Edward Michals,
Departmental Clearance Officer.
[FR Doc. 86-4724 Filed 2-4-86; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 3510-07-M

International Trade Administration

[A-122-503]

Antidumping Duty Order; Certain Iron Construction Castings From Canada

AGENCY: International Trade
Administration, Import Administration,
Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: In separate Investigations concerning certain iron construction castings from Canada, the United States Department of Commerce (the Department) and the United States International Trade Commission (ITC) have determined that these products are being sold at less than fair value and that sales of these products from Canada are materially injuring a United States industry. Therefore, based on these findings, all unliquidated entries. or warehouse withdrawals, for consumption of certain iron construction castings from Canada made on or after October 28, 1985, the date on which the Department published its "Preliminary Determination" notice in the Federal Register, will be liable for the possible assessment of antidumping duties. Further, a cash deposit of estimated antidumping duties must be made on all such entries, and withdrawals from warehouse, for consumption made on or after the date of publication of this antidumping duty order in the Federal Register.

EFFECTIVE DATE: March 5, 1986.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Patrick O'Mara or Mary S. Clapp, Office of Investigations, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 377–3798 or 377–1769.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

The Petition

The merchandise covered by this order consists of certain iron construction castings limited to manhole covers, rings and frames, catch basin grates and frames, cleanout covers and frames used for drainage or access purposes for public utility, water and sanitary systems; and value, service and meter boxes which are placed below ground to encase water, gas or other valves, or water or gas meters. These articles must be of cast iron, not alloyed, and not malleable, and are currently classified under item number 657.09 of the Tariff Schedules of the United States (TSUS).

In accordance with section 733 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act) (19 U.S.C. 1673h), on October 28, 1985, the Department published its preliminary determination that there was reason to believe or suspect that certain iron construction castings from Canada were being sold at less than fair value (50 FR 43592). On January 16, 1986, the Department published its final determination that these imports were being sold at less than fair value (51 FR 2412).

On February 19, 1986, in accordance with section 735(d) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1673d(d)), the ITC notified the Department that such importation materially injure a United States industry.

Therefore, in accordance with sections 736 and 751 of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1673e and 1675), the Department directs United States Customs officers to assess, upon further advice by the administering authority pursuant to section 736(a)(1) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1673e(a)(1)), antidumping duties equal to the amount by which the foreign market value of the merchandise exceeds the United States price for all entries of certain iron construction castings from Canada. These antidumping duties will be assessed on all unliquidated entries of the product entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after October 28, 1986, the date on which the Department published its "Preliminary Determination" notice in the Federal Register (50 FR 43592).

On and after the date of publication of this notice, United States Customs officers must require, at the same time as importers would normally deposit estimated duties on this merchandise, a cash deposit equal to the estimated weighted-averaged antidumping duty margin as noted below.

Manufacturers/producers/exporters	Weight- ed- average margin (percent)
Mueller Canada, Inc	9.6
Bibby Ste. Croix Foundries, Inc	
LaPerie Foundry, LtdAll other manufacturers/producers/exporters	

¹ The margins of 8.6 for Bibby Ste. Croix Foundries, Inc., and 3.9 for LaPerle Foundry, Ltd., are changes from the original January 6, 1986 final determination figures of 109 and 7.4, respectively. These changes were made based upon clerical errors discovered in the respective calculations. Accordingly, the previous "all other" margin of 10.2 is changed to 7.0.

This determination constitutes an antidumping duty order with respect to certain iron construction castings from Canada, pursuant to section 736 of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1673e) and section 353.48 of the Commerce Regulations (19 CFR 353.48). We have deleted from the Commerce Regulations Annex I of 19 CFR Part 353, which listed antidumping findings and orders currently in effect. Instead, interested parties may contact the Office of Information Services, Import Administration, for copies of the updated list of orders currently in effect.

This notice is published in accordance with section 736 of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1673e) and section 353.48 of the Commerce Regulations (19 CFR 353.48). Gilbert B. Kaplan,

Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

February 26, 1986.

[FR Doc. 86-4722 Filed 3-4-86; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510-DS-M

[A-122-057]

Antidumping; Replacement Parts for Self-Propelled Bituminous Paving Equipment from Canada; Correction to Final Results of Administrative Review

AGENCY: International Trade Administration, Import Administration, Commerce.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: On January 10, 1984 the Department of Commerce published in the Federal Register (49 FR 1263) the final results of its administrative review of the antidumping finding on replacement parts for self-propelled bituminous paving equipment from Canada (42 FR 44811, September 7, 1977) for the periods December 1, 1978 through August 31, 1979 and September 1, 1979 through August 31, 1981. The assessment

rates for Babcock Allatt Ltd. (now Fortress Allatt Ltd.), for the time periods were zero percent and 4.20 percent, respectively. The 4.20 percent estimated antidumping duties cash deposit rate was based on the margins which we calculated for Fortress Allatt's purchase price and exporter's sales price transactions.

After providing interested parties with an opportunity to comment, we are establishing separate estimated antidumping duties cash deposit rates for purchase price transactions (zero percent) and for exporter's sales price transactions (14.43 percent) for Fortress Allatt Ltd.

These rates shall apply to all shipments of this merchandise from Fortress Allatt Ltd. entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the date of publication of this notice. These rates will remain in effect until the publication of the final results of the next administrative review

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Arthur N. DuBois or Robert J. Marenick, Office of Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, Washington, DC 20230, telephone: (202) 377–2209/5255.

John L. Evans.

Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 86-4749 Filed 3-4-86; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510-05-M

[A-588-045]

Antidumping; Steel Wire Rope From Japan; Initiation and Preliminary Results of Administrative Review

AGENCY: International Trade Administration, Import Administration, Commerce,

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: In response to a request from the petitioner, the Department of Commerce has conducted an administrative review of the antidumping finding on steel wire rope from Japan. The review covers 21 of the 121 known manufacturers and/or exporters of this merchandise to the United States and generally the period January 1, 1977 through March 31, 1978. The review indicates the existence of dumping margins for certain firms during the period.

As a result of the review, the Department has preliminarily determined to assess dumping duties equal to the calculated differences between United States price and foreign market value on each of their sales during the period.

When company-supplied information was incomplete or no information was received in response to our questionnaire, we used the best information available for assessment purposes.

Interested parties are invited to comment on these preliminary results.

EFFECTIVE DATE: March 5, 1986.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Maureen Flannery or Phyllis Derrick, Office of Compliance, Internationl Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 377–3601.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On March 29, 1984, the Department of Commerce ("the Department") published in the Federal Register (49 FR 12294) the final results of its last administrative review of the antidumping finding on steel wire rope from Japan (38 FR 28571, October 15, 1973). In accordance with § 353.53 of the Commerce Regulations, the petitioner requested an administrative review of this finding on October 3, 1985. As required by section 751 of the Tariff Act of 1930 ("the Tariff Act"), the Department has now conducted that administrative review. The substantive provisions of the Antidumping Act of 1921 ("the 1921 Act") and the appropriate Customs Service regulations apply to all unliquidated entries made prior to January 1, 1980.

Scope of the Review

Imports covered by the review are shipments of steel wire rope, except brass electroplated steel truck tire cord of cable construction specially packaged for protection against moisture and atmosphere. Such steel wire rope is currently classifiable under items 642.1200, 642.1400, 642.1500, 642.1600, and 642.1700 of the Tariff Schedules of the United States Annotated. The review covers 21 of the 121 known manufacturers and/or exporters of Japanese steel wire rope to the United States and generally the period January 1, 1977 through March 31, 1978.

One firm failed to respond to our questionnaire and other firms provided incomplete responses to certain portions of our questionnaire. For the non-responsive firm, we used the best information available for assessment purposes. The best information available was the rate from the immediately preceding period for that firm. For firms providing incomplete responses, we used the best information

available for the incomplete portions of their responses.

United States Price

In calculating United States price the Department used purchase price or exporter's sales price, as defined, respectively, in sections 203 and 204 of the 1921 Act, as appropriate.

Purchase price was based on the f.o.b. packed price to unrelated trading companies for export to the United States. Exporter's sales price was based on the c.i.f. packed price to the first unrelated purchaser in the United States. Where applicable, we made deductions for foreign inland freight, insurance, f.o.b. charges, ocean freight, marine insurance, wharfage and handling, U.S. inland freight, U.S. customs duties, bank charges, and the U.S. subsidiary's selling expenses. No other deductions were claimed or allowed.

Foreign Market Value

In calculating foreign market value the Department used either home market price or constructed value, as defined, respectively, in sections 205 and 206 of the 1921 Act. Home market price was based on the packed delivered price with adjustments, where applicable, for inland freight, insurance, and differences in the cost of packing and credit.

Constructed value was calculated as the sum of materials and fabrication costs, general expenses, profit, and packing. The amount added for general expenses was ten percent of the sum of materials and fabrication costs, or actual general expenses, whichever was greater. The amount added for profit was eight percent of the sum of material and fabrication costs and general expenses, or actual profit, whichever was greater. We made adjustments, where applicable, for differences in the cost of credit.

Preliminary Results of the Review

As a result of our comparison of United States price to foreign market value, we preliminarily determine that the following margins exist:

Manufacturer/exporter	Period	Margin (percent)
KoKoKu Steel Wire/Itohtaka KoKoKu Steel Wire/Kane-	1/1/77-3/31/78	17.43
matsu-Gosho	1/1/77-3/31/78	0.35
Machinery	1/1/77-3/31/78	3.89
Co	1/1/77-3/31/78	11.81
men Co	1/1/77-3/31/78	2.32